# A PERCEPTUAL AND ACOUSTIC STUDY OF MELODY IN WHISPERED CZECH WORDS



# Adléta Hanžlová, Tomáš Bořil

Institute of Phonetics, Charles University in Prague

adleta.hanzlova@gmail.com,tomas.boril@ff.cuni.cz

## Introduction

The perception of melody in speech depends mainly on the fundamental frequency  $(f_0)$  which reflects vocal fold oscillation speed. Whisper is defined by the absence of phonation and therefore the lack of  $f_0$ . Intended melody in whisper, however, seems to be discernible regardless.

## Aim of the study

• perception experiment assessing the discernibility of melody in whispered Czech words and words sung in whisper

## Material

4 female native Czech speakers aged 20-24 with musical education and experience with solo/choral singing

#### whispered speech: shadowing task

- 2-syllable onomatopoeic words [barba, jerjer, larlar, jorjor] set in the sentence "Řekl [target] anebo [modified target]," modified target stands for the target word with two short vowels.
- template sentences in modal phonation, melodic contours of target words manipulated in Praat [7] for  $f_0$  of vowels to match musical intervals

#### words sung in whisper

- 2-syllable onomatopoeic words [larlar, jorjor]
- each target word realized with 8 different melodic contours matched to musical intervals

**Fonetický** ústav

• piano track playing target intervals with metronome through headphones, each interval twice – speakers first listened, then sung along

• acoustical analysis of the effect of intended melody in whisper on possible correlates

## Possible correlates of melody in whisper

- formant frequencies [1–4] • formant to formant ratios [4, 5]
- center of gravity (CoG) [6] • spectral slope [5]

• each sentence realized with 4 different melodic contours as statement/question (did he say [target] or [mod. target] / he said [target] or [mod. target])



Musical intervals melodic contours of template target words were manipulated to match.



Musical intervals played as template when recording sung and whisper-sung words.

## **Perception experiment**

#### experiment setup:

 $\rightarrow$  2AFC (fall/rise in melody) ightarrow 2 consecutive experiments stimuli:

 $\rightarrow$  whispered target words

 $\rightarrow$  preceded by beep, 1 replay

 $\rightarrow$  words sung in whisper: 53 + 9 filler

 $\rightarrow$  whispered speech: 54 + 12 filler

#### respondents:

 $\rightarrow$  33 Czech/Slovak aged 17–63, 13 male analysis:

 $\rightarrow$  success rates for subgroups, confidence



Perception experiment interface

# Acoustical analysis

middle third of vowels in target words analysis in Praat [7], linear mixed-effects models in R [8], likelihood ratio tests dependent variables (one per model):  $\rightarrow$  formants (F1-F3)  $\rightarrow$  formant ratios (F2:F1, F3:F1, F3:F2)  $\rightarrow$  CoG, spectral slope

### fixed effects (for all models):

pitch movement of target word (rise/fall) in interaction with position of vowel in the word (first/second syllable)

random intercepts (for all models):  $\rightarrow$  speaker, target word

## Results

## intervals with Bonferroni correction

# (buttons: fall, rise, replay, confirm choice)

## **Discernibility of melody in whisper**



Success rates of discerning melody in perception experiments by intended melody, confidence intervals at  $\alpha = 0.05$ , Bonferroni correction for n = 2.



Success rates of discerning melody of whisper-sung words by intended melody & pitch difference, confidence intervals at  $\alpha = 0.05$ , Bonferroni correction for n = 8.

#### whispered speech ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) $\rightarrow$ F2 (p = 0.046)

 $\rightarrow$  CoG of signal stop-band filtered between 1000 and 6300 Hz (visible formant structure removed) (p = 0.022) words sung in whisper ( $\alpha = 0.05$ )  $\rightarrow$  F2 (p < 0.001)  $\rightarrow$  F<sub>3</sub> (p = 0.008)  $\rightarrow$  F2:F1 (p < 0.001)  $\rightarrow$  F3:F2 (p = 0.012) = movement of F<sub>2</sub> more prominent than F1, F3  $\rightarrow$  CoG (p = 0.002)  $\rightarrow$  spectral slope (p = 0.0069)

F2 in a perception experiment stimulus recognized in 100% as rising melody:



sung in whisper with a rising [la:la:] melody, intended pitch difference of 7 st. Dotted lines denote formants. Spectrogram frequency range is o-8 kHz, time step 5 ms.



#### Acoustic parameters in whisper-singing vs. whispered speech

**Read the paper:** 

**spectral slope**  $\rightarrow$  less negative = more effort, higher-pitched melody in whisper-singing vs. speaker's own voice range in whispered speech  $F_2 \rightarrow$  singing may require less precision in vowel quality but more in melody which would enable F2 to shift more in whisper-singing



#### References

1. Meyer-Eppler, W. Realization of Prosodic Features in Whispered Speech. JASA 29, 104–106 (1957).

2. Thomas, I. B. Perceived Pitch of Whispered Vowels. *JASA* **46**, 468–470 (1969).

3. Fónagy, J. Accent et intonation dans la parole chuchotée. *Phonetica* **20,** 177–192 (1969).

4. Higashikawa, M. & Minifie, F. D. Acousticalperceptual correlates of 'whisper pitch' in synthetically generated vowels. *JSLHR* **42**, 583–591 (1999). 5. Heeren, W. F. L. & Lorenzi, C. Perception of prosody in normal and whispered French. JASA 135, 2026–2040 (2014).

6. Heeren, W. F. L. Vocalic correlates of pitch in whispered versus normal speech. JASA 138, 3800-3810 (2015).

7. Boersma, P. & Weenink, D. Praat: doing phonetics by computer (2021). www.praat.org/.

8. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (2022). www.R-project. org/.

vowel position within target word

Spectral slope in the middle third of vowels from words sung in whisper by intended melody, pitch difference and speaker. Each line represents one realization of a target word.